



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/914 901

EXAMINER

M. Budd

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

7834 8

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr Zborovský (3)
(2) Mr Budd (4)

Date of interview 10-20-07

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: as amended 10-17-07

Identification of prior art discussed: All

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed
possible further amendments

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has not been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-98)


M. BUD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 212

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of interview must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any, audio tape or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

At 100 Interviews

In the event of a recall, where major information is required to be disclosed to consumers, a pending written statement of the incidents presented at the store now in question, available when and as required, is required to consumers prior to the issuance of a mandatory recall notice. Information is provided in Section 11.10.

The action of the Police and Fire Department Officers caused the instant escalation to the homicide level of the conflict, being directed by local organizations. Promoted by the

In the responsibility of the responsible authority, the following circumstances, according to the legislation, are considered to be serious: (i) a substantial damage to property or to the environment; (ii) responsibility of the responsible authority for serious damage to property or to the environment resulting from a serious environmental emergency.

Supplementary material submitted by the patent owner in accordance with Section 1.121 of the Manual of Patent Practice and Procedure, including any drawings, tables, or other material, may be considered by the Office in making a final decision on a patent application. The Office may consider such material in making a final decision on a patent application, but such material is not considered by the Office in making a final decision on a patent application if the material is not submitted in accordance with the requirements of Section 1.121 of the Manual of Patent Practice and Procedure.

The Form provides for recording of the following information:

-Serial Number of the application
Name of applicant
Name of examiner
Date of interview
Type of interview (personal or telephone)
Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
An identification of the claims discussed
An identification of the specific prior art discussed
An indication whether an agreement was reached and, if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be attached in a copy of amendments or claims agreed upon being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the documents on file)
The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
Witness of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel, if any

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the examination record or in an attachment to the examination record, such as a telephone or facsimile recording, the applicant that he need not also record the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

I would be obliged to receive your comments on this draft, in order to facilitate a sensible and useful presentation of the information which is available, or to supplement it by applying or by referring to evidence of it, the legal documents, prepared below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recitation of the recitation of any otherwise short include at least the following applicable items:

1) a plain description of the arguments, or, if needed, shown in a separate table, identification of the arguments, or
2) a demonstration of the claims disputed,
3) an identification of specific place or resources,
4) an identification of the previous prior art relied upon, as far as necessary to understand what is being claimed. When these are already described on the "Statement of Summary Case," they are not required to be repeated.

In case of oppositions, the opposition is limited to the arguments of the applicant. The identification of arguments needed for a negative decision is not necessary (unless otherwise agreed by the parties). The verification of the arguments is sufficient if the generic nature of the claims is such that the arguments of the applicant are not contentious in the context of the application for. Of course, the applicant may decide to provide arguments to the examiner.

For other communications, the arguments of the applicant are not limited to the arguments of the examiner. The identification of arguments needed for a negative decision is not necessary (unless otherwise agreed by the parties). The verification of the arguments is sufficient if the generic nature of the claims is such that the arguments of the applicant are not contentious in the context of the application for. Of course, the applicant may decide to provide arguments to the examiner.

For the examination of a request for re-examination, the arguments of the applicant are not limited to the arguments of the examiner. The identification of arguments needed for a negative decision is not necessary (unless otherwise agreed by the parties). The verification of the arguments is sufficient if the generic nature of the claims is such that the arguments of the applicant are not contentious in the context of the application for. Of course, the applicant may decide to provide arguments to the examiner.

If necessary, the request should be accompanied by a copy of the "Statement of Summary Case" completed by the examiner.

Examiners are encouraged to make the following additions to the application and an Answer. If the hotel is incomplete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant the much less time for the examination. After the examination is carried out, the examiner, whenever is longer, to compute the response and thereby avoid an appearance of the application is 120 days.

ANSWER TO QUESTIONS